

Invariant theory of singular α -determinants

Kazufumi KIMOTO

(University of the Ryukyus)

Joint work with Masato WAKAYAMA

Conference “Representation Theory, Systems of Differential
Equations and their Related Topics” at Hokkaido University

July 5, 2007

α -determinant

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \text{Mat}_n = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the α -**determinant of A** is

$$\det^{(\alpha)}(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{\nu(\sigma)} a_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)n}$$

where

$$\nu(\sigma) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)m_i$$

if the cycle-type of σ is $1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots$

- $\det^{(-1)}(A) = \det(A) \quad (\because (-1)^{\nu(\sigma)} = \text{sgn } \sigma)$
- $\det^{(1)}(A) = \text{per}(A)$
- $\det^{(\alpha)}$ is multilinear in rows and columns
- $\det^{(\alpha)}(tA) = \det^{(\alpha)}(A) \quad (\because \nu(\sigma^{-1}) = \nu(\sigma))$

- α -determinant is first introduced by Vere-Jones (1988) as coefficients in the expansion of $\det(I - \alpha A)^{-1/\alpha}$:

$$\det(I - \alpha A)^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq d} \det^{(\alpha)} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 i_1} & \cdots & a_{i_1 i_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i_n i_1} & \cdots & a_{i_n i_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$.

This is used to construct a certain point process.

- \det is multiplicative : $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$
- $\det^{(\alpha)}(AB) \neq \det^{(\alpha)}(A) \det^{(\alpha)}(B)$ if $\alpha \neq -1$

\det is multiplicative $\iff GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \cdot \det(X) \subset \mathbb{C} \cdot \det(X)$

\longrightarrow Look at the smallest $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant subspace containing $\det^{(\alpha)}(X)$

Introduce a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module structure on the algebra $\mathcal{P}(\text{Mat}_n)$ of polynomial functions on Mat_n by

$$E_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^n x_{ip} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{jp}}$$

E_{ij} : standard basis of $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$

x_{ij} : standard coordinate on $\text{Mat}_n = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$

- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det(X) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \det(X) \cong \mathcal{M}_n^{(1^n)}$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \text{per}(X) \cong \mathcal{M}_n^{(n)}$

\mathcal{M}_n^λ : irreducible highest weight module of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ with highest weight λ (we identify the highest weights and partitions and/or Young diagrams)

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det^{(\alpha)}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ f_\lambda(\alpha) \neq 0}} (\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}$$

where

$$f_\lambda(\alpha) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 + (j - i)\alpha),$$

$$f^\lambda = K_{\lambda, (1^n)} = \# \text{ of standard tableaux of } \lambda,$$

(Matsumoto-Wakayama (2006))

- If $\alpha = -1/k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$),

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det^{(\alpha)}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda_1 \leq k}} (\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}$$

- If $\alpha = 1/k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$),

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det^{(\alpha)}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda'_1 \leq k}} (\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}$$

- Otherwise,

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det^{(\alpha)}(X) \cong (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} (\mathcal{M}_n^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}$$

$$\alpha = \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{n-1} : \text{singular values}$$

Singular α -determinants

Look at the case where $\alpha = -1/k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$).

$$\det^{(\alpha)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{\nu(\sigma)} = \prod_{1 \leq i < n} (1 + i\alpha)$$

For $I \subset [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_n(I) = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n; \sigma(x) = x, x \notin I\}.$$

$$\sigma \cdot (a_{ij}) = (a_{\sigma^{-1}(i)j}), \quad (a_{ij}) \cdot \tau = (a_{i\tau(j)})$$

$$((a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{m,n}, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(I)} \det^{(\alpha)}(X \cdot \sigma)$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i < k} (1 + i\alpha) \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{m(g,I)} x_{g(1)1} \cdots x_{g(n)n}$$

$(m(g, I)$: some nonnegative integer)

“ $-1/k$ -analogue” of the alternating property:

$$I \subset [n], \#I > k \implies \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(I)} \det^{(-1/k)}(X \cdot \sigma) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \in \text{Mat}_n,$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{i_1} = \dots = \mathbf{a}_{i_k} = \mathbf{b} \quad (1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n),$$

$$j \neq i_1, \dots, i_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \det^{(-1/k)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{b}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \\ = \det^{(-1/k)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_j, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \end{aligned}$$

- The ordinary determinant is characterized (up to constant) by the multilinearity and alternating property with respect to column vectors.
- How about the $-1/k$ -determinant?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ML}_n &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq n} \mathbb{C} \cdot x_{i_1 1} \dots x_{i_n n} \\ &= \left\{ f(X) \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Mat}_n); f(X) \text{ is multilinear in columns} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det^{(-1/k)}(X) \\ &= \left\{ f(X) \in \text{ML}_n; \#I > k \implies \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(I)} f(X \cdot \sigma) = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Wreath determinant

For $A = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \in \text{Mat}_{m,n}$,

$$A^{[k]} = A \otimes \overbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}^k = \overbrace{(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)}^k \in \text{Mat}_{m, kn}$$

Example. If $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{3,2}$,

$$A^{[3]} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1 & a_1 & b_1 & b_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & a_2 & a_2 & b_2 & b_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & a_3 & a_3 & b_3 & b_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{3,6}.$$

For $A \in \text{Mat}_{kn,n}$, the k -wreath determinant of A is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wrdet}_k(A) &= \det^{(-1/k)}(A^{[k]}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{kn}} \left(-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\nu(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^k a_{\sigma((i-1)k+j), i} \end{aligned}$$

- By the “ $-1/k$ -alternating property” of $\det^{(-1/k)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wrdet}_k(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{a}_i + c\mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \\ = \text{wrdet}_k(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \end{aligned}$$

- By the column-multilinearity of $\det^{(-1/k)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wrdet}_k(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, c\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \\ = c^k \text{wrdet}_k(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \end{aligned}$$

For $A \in \text{Mat}_{kn,n}$ and $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\text{wrdet}_k(AP) = \det(P)^k \text{wrdet}_k(A)$$

For $A \in \text{Mat}_{kn,n}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{S}_k \wr \mathfrak{S}_n = \mathfrak{S}_k^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$,

$$\text{wrdet}_k(g \cdot A) = \chi_{n,k}(g)^k \text{wrdet}_k(A)$$

where

$$\chi_{n,k}(g) = \text{sgn } \tau, \quad g = (\sigma, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_k^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$$

We regard $\mathfrak{S}_k^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathfrak{S}_{kn}$ by

$$\sigma((i-1)k + j) = (i-1)k + \sigma_i(j),$$

$$\tau((i-1)k + j) = (\tau(i) - 1)k + j$$

$$(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k)$$

for $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \mathfrak{S}_k^n$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Determinant expression of wrdet_k

Introduce a $GL_{kn} \times GL_n$ -module structure on $\mathcal{P}(\text{Mat}_{kn,n})$ by

$$((g, h).f)(A) := f({}^t g A h)$$

$$(g \in GL_{kn}, h \in GL_n, A \in \text{Mat}_{kn,n})$$

We have the multiplicity-free decomposition

$$\mathcal{P}(\text{Mat}_{kn,n}) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell(\lambda) \leq n} \mathcal{M}_{kn}^\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_n^\lambda$$

by (GL_{kn}, GL_n) -**duality**.

Look at the det-eigenspace for the diagonal torus $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_{kn} \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{kn}$ of GL_{kn} :

$$\mathcal{P}(\text{Mat}_{kn,n})^{\mathbb{T},\det} \cong \bigoplus_{\ell(\lambda) \leq n} (\mathcal{M}_{kn}^\lambda)^{\mathbb{T},\det} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_n^\lambda$$

where

$$V^{\mathbb{T},\det} = \{v \in V; t.v = (\det t)v, t \in \mathbb{T}\}$$

- $(\mathcal{M}_{kn}^\lambda)^{\mathbb{T},\det}$ becomes a \mathfrak{S}_{kn} -module (\mathfrak{S}_{kn} is the normalizer of \mathbb{T} in GL_{kn}).
- It is known that $(\mathcal{M}_{kn}^\lambda)^{\mathbb{T},\det}$ is irreducible \mathfrak{S}_{kn} -module corresponding to λ if $\lambda \vdash kn$.

Let $M_{n,k} \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Mat}_n)$ be the irreducible $GL_{kn} \times GL_n$ -submodule corresponding to (k^n) :

$$M_{n,k} \cong \mathcal{M}_{kn}^{(k^n)} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_n^{(k^n)}.$$

As a \mathfrak{S}_{kn} -module, $M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{T}, \det}$ is irreducible (corresponding to (k^n)) since $\dim \mathcal{M}_n^{(k^n)} = 1$.

In particular, $\dim M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{T}, \det} = f(k^n)$.

Since

$$\text{wrdet}_k(tAP) = (\det t)(\det P)^k \text{wrdet}_k(A) \quad (t \in \mathbb{T}, P \in GL_n),$$

it follows that $\text{wrdet}_k(X) \in M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{T}, \det}$.

Let $T = (t_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}}$ be a standard tableau of (k^n) .

- For $A \in \text{Mat}_{kn,n}$,

$$\det_T(A) := \prod_{p=1}^k \det(a_{t_{ip},j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$$

- Let $I(T) \in \text{Mat}_{kn,n}$ be a matrix whose t_{ij} -th row vector is

$$(0, \dots, 0, \overset{i\text{-th}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

Example. If $n = 3$, $k = 2$ and $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$,

$$\det_T(A) = \left| \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} \end{array} \right|$$

$$I(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Since

$$\det_T(tAP) = (\det t)(\det P)^k \det_T(A) \quad (t \in \mathbb{T}, P \in GL_n),$$

it follows that $\det_T(X) \in M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{T}, \det}$.

- For standard tableaux T, U of (k^n) ,

$$\det_T(I(U)) = \delta_{T,U}$$

In particular, $\{\det_T(X)\}_T$ are linearly independent.

Since $\dim M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{T},\det} = f(k^n)$,

$$M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{T},\det} = \bigoplus_T \mathbb{C} \cdot \det_T(X)$$

Thus we have

$$\text{wrdet}_k(X) = \sum_T \text{wrdet}_k(I(T)) \det_T(X)$$

Example. The standard tableaux of (2^3) are

$$U_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}, U_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}, U_3 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}, U_4 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}, U_5 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

and the corresponding matrices $I(U_p)$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The 2-wreath determinants $\text{wrdet}_2(I(U_p))$ are

$$\text{wrdet}_2(I(U_1)) = \frac{1}{8},$$

$$\text{wrdet}_2(I(U_2)) = \text{wrdet}_2(I(U_3)) = -\frac{1}{16},$$

$$\text{wrdet}_2(I(U_4)) = \text{wrdet}_2(I(U_5)) = \frac{1}{32}.$$

Hence, for $A \in \text{Mat}_{6,3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wrdet}_2(A) &= \frac{1}{8} \det_{U_1}(A) - \frac{1}{16} \det_{U_2}(A) - \frac{1}{16} \det_{U_3}(A) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{32} \det_{U_4}(A) + \frac{1}{32} \det_{U_5}(A). \end{aligned}$$

By the Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\dim \left(M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{T}, \det} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_k^n} = K_{(k^n), (k^n)} = 1.$$

Since $\text{wrdet}_k(X)$ is \mathfrak{S}_k^n -invariant, we have

$$\left(M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{T}, \det} \right)^{\mathfrak{S}_k^n} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{wrdet}_k(X).$$

Consequently, by determining the proportional constant,

$$\text{wrdet}_k(X) = \frac{1}{k^{kn}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k^n} \det_{T_0}(\sigma \cdot X)$$

where T_0 is a standard tableau of (k^n) whose (i, j) -entry is $(i-1)k + j$.

As a corollary,

Let $\mathcal{P}(\text{Mat}_{kn,n})^{\chi_{n,k}, \det^k}$ be the subspace consisting of the functions satisfying

$$f(gAP) = \chi_{n,k}(g)^k (\det P)^k f(A)$$

for $g \in \mathfrak{S}_k \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$, $P \in GL_n$, $A \in \text{Mat}_{kn,n}$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(\text{Mat}_{kn,n})^{\chi_{n,k}, \det^k} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{wrdet}_k(X)$$

- The “ k -ply multiplicativity”

$$\det^{(\alpha)}(AP^{[k]}) = (\det P)^k \det^{(\alpha)}(A^{[k]}) \quad (A \in \text{Mat}_{kn,n}, P \in GL_n)$$

holds only when $\alpha = -1/k$.

- Then it is natural to look at the cyclic module $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_{kn}) \cdot \det^{(\alpha)}(X^{[k]})$. It is not difficult to see

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_{kn}) \cdot \det^{(\alpha)}(X^{[k]}) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash kn \\ f_\lambda(\alpha) \neq 0}} (\mathcal{M}_{kn}^\lambda)^{K_{\lambda, (kn)}}$$

- It is much harder to describe the irreducible decomposition (i.e. determine explicitly the ‘singular values’) of the cyclic module

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cdot \det^{(\alpha)}(X)^l$$

for $l > 1$.

- When $n = 2$, the ‘singular values’ are given as roots of the Jacobi polynomials:

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \cdot \det^{(\alpha)}(X)^l \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq l \\ F_i(\alpha) \neq 0}} \mathcal{M}_2^{(2l-i, i)}$$

where

$$F_i(\alpha) = (1 + \alpha)^{l-i} \times (\text{Jacobi polynomial})$$

(K.-Matsumoto-Wakayama)

Expansion of wrdet_k

For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\mathfrak{R}_{n,k} := \left\{ f : [kn] \rightarrow [n]; \#f^{-1}(j) = k, \forall j \in [n] \right\}.$$

(Notice that $\mathfrak{R}_{n,1} = \mathfrak{S}_n$)

We define the sign of $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{n,k}$ by

$$\text{sgn}_{n,k}(f) := \text{wrdet}_k(\delta_{f(i),j})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq kn \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wrdet}_k A &= \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{R}_{n,k}} \text{sgn}_{n,k}(f) \prod_{i \in [kn]} a_{if(i)} \\ &= \sum_T \text{sgn}_{n,k}(T) \det_T(A) \end{aligned}$$

where we regard a standard tableau $T = (t_{ij})$ of (k^n) as an element in $\mathfrak{R}_{n,k}$ by

$$T : [kn] \ni t_{ij} \longmapsto i \in [n]$$

- $\text{sgn}_{n,k}(T) = \text{wrdet}_k I(T)$

Define the injection

$$\begin{aligned} \omega : \mathfrak{S}_n^k \ni (w_1, \dots, w_k) \\ \longmapsto \left((i-1)k + j \mapsto w_j(i) \right) \in \mathfrak{R}_{n,k} \end{aligned}$$

For $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{n,k}$,

$$\text{sgn}_{n,k}(f) = \text{sgn}(w) \left(\frac{k!}{k^k} \right)^n \frac{\#(f \cdot \mathfrak{S}_k^n \cap \omega(\mathfrak{S}_n^k))}{\#(f \cdot \mathfrak{S}_k^n)}$$

where $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^k$ such that $\omega(w) \in f \cdot \mathfrak{S}_k^n$.

For $f \in \mathfrak{X}_{n,k}$, define

$$P_f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{nk}) := \frac{1}{\#\mathfrak{S}_k^n} \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_k^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^k x_{f((i-1)k+j), \sigma_i(j)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\#(f \cdot \mathfrak{S}_k^n \cap \omega(\mathfrak{S}_n^k))}{\#(f \cdot \mathfrak{S}_k^n)} = \text{the coefficient of } \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^k x_{ij} \text{ in } P_f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{nk})$$

Example. If $n = 3$, $k = 2$ and $T = U_4 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$, then

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} : [6] \rightarrow [3],$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_T(x_{11}, \dots, x_{32}) \\ = \frac{1}{8}(x_{11}x_{22} + x_{12}x_{21})(x_{11}x_{32} + x_{12}x_{31})(x_{21}x_{32} + x_{22}x_{31}). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of $x_{11}x_{21}x_{31}x_{12}x_{22}x_{32}$ is $\frac{1}{4}$.

If we take $w = ((23), (12)) \in \mathfrak{S}_3^2$, then $\omega(w) = T \cdot (34) \in T \cdot \mathfrak{S}_2^3$ and $\text{sgn } w = 1$. Therefore

$$\text{sgn}_{n,k}(T) = \text{wrdet}_2(U_4) = 1 \cdot \left(\frac{2!}{2^2}\right)^3 \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{32}.$$

References

- K.-Wakayama,
Invariant theory of singular α -determinants,
`math.RT/0603699`
- Matsumoto-Wakayama,
Alpha-determinant cyclic modules of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$,
J. Lie Theory **16** (2006), 393-405.
- K.-Wakayama,
Quantum α -determinant cyclic modules of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$,
`doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.12.015`